

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/496,421                             | 02/02/2000      | Ritsuko Iwasaki      | 24705/99                | 6172             |  |
| 21254                                  | 7590 08/27/2002 |                      |                         |                  |  |
| MCGINN &                               | •               | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |  |
| 8321 OLD CO<br>SUITE 200<br>VIENNA, VA | URTHOUSE ROAD   |                      | LEE, EUGENE             |                  |  |
| VILINIA, VA                            | 22162-3617      |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                 |                      | 2815                    |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |   | un   |
|--|---|---|--|
|  | Application No.   | cant(s)   |  |
| Advisory Action  | 09/496,421  | IWASAKI, RITSUK   | )  |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |
|  | Eugene Lee  | 2815  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | pears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add  | ress   |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | avoid abandonment of this appli<br>(1) a timely filed amendment wh  | cation. A proper relich places the application  | ply to a<br>cation in  |
| PERIOD FOR R   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA: 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. In the series of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate existence the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 August 2002</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)  |   |   | orth in  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered   | because:  |   |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furt  | her consideration and/or search   | (see NOTE below);   |  |
| (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note  | below);   |   |  |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | in better form for appeal by mat  | terially reducing or s  | simplifying the  |
| (d)  they present additional claims without cance  | eling a corresponding number of   | finally rejected clair  | ms.  |
| NOTE: the amendments made in claims 3-9, an  | d 11-25 require further consideration   | n and/or search.  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje   | ction(s):   |   |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | d be allowable if submitted in a s  | separate, timely filed  | d amendment  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request f application in condition for allowance because:   |   | sidered but does NO   | OT place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ecause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which we  | ere newly  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims versions.   |   |   | and an   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows  | <b>3</b> :  |   |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-9,11-25</u> .  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |  |
| 8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on i  | s a)  □ approved or b) □ disap  | proved by the Exan  | niner.   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem   | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)/  |   |  |
| 10. Other:   |   | SDDIE I EE  |  |

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800